

Final exam, ECE 3341 Stochastic processes, Northeastern Univ. Boston

Nasser M. Abbasi

1993

Compiled on November 16, 2018 at 11:40am [public]

Contents

1	problem 1	1
2	problem 2	6
3	problem 3	7
4	problem 4	9
5	problem 5	15
6	problem 6	19
7	problem 7	21

1 problem 1

part a

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_Y(n) &= E[Y_n] \\ &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]\end{aligned}$$

but $E[X_i] = 1 \cdot P\{X_i = 1\} + 0 \cdot P\{X_i = 0\} = p$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_Y(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n p \\ &= np\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\boxed{\mu_Y(n)=np}$$

I'll find now a general expressing for $E[Y_m Y_n]$ that I need to use in this problem.

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_m Y_n &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m X_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \\
&= (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_m)(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) \\
&= X_1 X_1 + X_1 X_2 + \dots + X_1 X_n \\
&+ X_2 X_1 + X_2 X_2 + \dots + X_2 X_n \\
&+ X_3 X_1 + X_3 X_2 + \dots + X_3 X_n \\
&+ \dots \\
&+ X_m X_1 + X_m X_2 + \dots + X_m X_n
\end{aligned}$$

so, there are m rows, and n columns. also note that $E[X_i X_i] = E[X_i^2] = 0 \cdot (1-p) + 1^2 \cdot p = p$ and since X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots are all independent with each others. then $E[X_i X_j] = E[X_i] E[X_j] = p \cdot p = p^2$

now, if $m < n$ then there are m pairs of $X_i X_i$ and there are $(m \cdot (n - 1))$.

if $n < m$, then are n pairs of $X_i X_i$ and there are $(n \cdot (m - 1))$.

so, the general case is then

$$E[Y_m Y_n] = \min(m, n)(\max(m, n) - 1)p + \min(m, n)p^2$$

i.e. if

$$m < n \Rightarrow E[Y_m Y_n] = m(n - 1)p + mp^2$$

if

$$m > n \Rightarrow E[Y_m Y_n] = n(m - 1)p + np^2$$

when

$$m = n \Rightarrow E[Y_n Y_n] = E[Y_n^2] = n(n - 1)p + np^2$$

now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_Y^2(n) &= E[Y_n^2] - E^2[Y_n] \\
&= n(n - 1)p + np^2 - (np)^2
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_Y^2(n) &= n(n - 1)p + np^2 - n^2 p^2 \\
&= n(n - 1)p + np^2(1 - n) \\
&= (n - 1)(np - np^2) \\
&= np(n - 1)(1 - p)
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sigma_Y^2(n) = np(n-1)(1-p)$$

part b

$$\begin{aligned}K_Y(m, n) &= E[Y_m X_n^*] - \mu_Y(m) \mu_X(n) \\ &= \min(m, n)(\max(m, n) - 1)p + \min(m, n)p^2 - (mp)(np)\end{aligned}$$

so

$$K_Y(m, n) = \min(m, n)(\max(m, n) - 1)p + \min(m, n)p^2 - mnp$$

i.e.

$$m < n \Rightarrow K_Y(m, n) = m(n - 1)p + mp^2 - mnp = mp(p - 1)$$

$$n < m \Rightarrow K_Y(m, n) = n(m - 1)p + np^2 - mnp = np(p - 1)$$

so

$$K_Y(m, n) = \min(m, n)p(p - 1)$$

part c

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_A^2 &= E[A^2] - E^2[A] \\ &= E[(Y_m - Y_n)^2] - E^2[Y_m - Y_n] \\ &= E[Y_m^2 + Y_n^2 - 2Y_m Y_n] - (E[Y_m] - E[Y_n])^2 \\ &= E[Y_m^2] + E[Y_n^2] - 2E[Y_m Y_n] - (E^2[Y_m] + E^2[Y_n] - 2E[Y_m]E[Y_n]) \\ &= E[Y_m^2] + E[Y_n^2] - 2E[Y_m Y_n] - E^2[Y_m] - E^2[Y_n] + 2E[Y_m]E[Y_n] \\ &= (E[Y_m^2] - E^2[Y_m]) + (E[Y_n^2] - E^2[Y_n]) - 2E[Y_m Y_n] + 2E[Y_m]E[Y_n] \\ &= \sigma_y^2(m) + \sigma_y^2(n) - 2E[Y_m Y_n] + 2E[Y_m]E[Y_n]\end{aligned}$$

now, since X_i are all independent with each others, then $E[Y_m Y_n] = E[Y_m]E[Y_n]$, only if $E[X_i]E[X_i] = E[X_i X_i]$

for all i. $E[X_i]E[X_i] = p^2$ and $E[X_i X_i] = p$, so Y_m and Y_n are not independent with each others even though X_i, X_j are. so the general expression becomes:

$$\sigma_A^2 = np(n - 1)(1 - p) + mp(m - 1)(1 - p) - 2[\min(m, n)(\max(m, n) - 1)p + \min(m, n)p^2] + 2nmp^2$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} m < n \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 &= np(n-1)(1-p) + mp(m-1)(1-p) - 2[m(n-1)p + mp^2] + 2nmp^2 \\ &= n^2(p-p^2) + n(p^2-p) + m^2(p-p^2) + m(p-p^2) + 2nm(p^2-p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n < m \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 &= np(n-1)(1-p) + mp(m-1)(1-p) - 2[n(m-1)p + np^2] + 2nmp^2 \\ &= n^2(p-p^2) + n(p-p^2) + m^2(p-p^2) + m(p^2-p) + 2nm(p^2-p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$n = m \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 = 0$$

I can simplify this more by writing

$$\gamma = p - p^2$$

so

$$m < n \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 = n^2\gamma - n\gamma + \gamma m^2 - \gamma m + 2\gamma nm$$

and

$$n < m \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 = \gamma n^2 + \gamma n + \gamma m^2 + \gamma m + 2\gamma nm$$

so, finally

$$m < n \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 = \gamma(n^2 + m^2 + 2nm) - \gamma(n + m)$$

and

$$n < m \Rightarrow \sigma_A^2 = \gamma(n^2 + m^2 + 2nm) + \gamma(n + m)$$

where

$$\gamma = p - p^2$$

2 problem 2

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(l) &= 5\delta(l) \\ S_X(\omega) &= 5 \\ S_Y(\omega) &= 5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{X,Y}(l) &= 2\delta(l) \\ S_{XY}(\omega) &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(n) &= u(n+2) - u(n-3) = \{1, 1, \mathbb{1}, 1, 1\} \\ H_1(j\omega) &= \frac{\sin(\frac{5}{2}\omega)}{\sin(\frac{\omega}{2})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(n) &= [2 - |n|] h_1(n) = \{1, \mathbb{2}, 1\} \\ H_2(j\omega) &= 2(1 + \cos \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(n) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|n|} = \{\dots, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \mathbb{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\} \\ H_3(j\omega) &= \frac{1 - (\frac{1}{2})^2}{1 - 2\frac{1}{2}\cos\omega + (\frac{1}{2})^2} = \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{\frac{3}{2} - \cos\omega} = \frac{3}{6 - 4\cos\omega} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_U(l) &= R_X(l) * h_1(l) * h_3(l) * h_1^*(-l) * h_3^*(-l) \\ &+ \\ &R_Y(l) * h_2(l) * h_3(l) * h_2^*(-l) * h_3^*(-l) \\ &+ \\ &R_{XY}(l) * h_3(l) * h_3^*(-l) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_U(\omega) &= S_X(\omega) |H_1(j\omega)|^2 |H_3(j\omega)|^2 \\ &+ \\ &S_Y(\omega) |H_2(j\omega)|^2 |H_3(j\omega)|^2 \\ &+ \\ &S_{XY}(\omega) |H_3(j\omega)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 5 \left| \frac{\sin(\frac{5}{2}\omega)}{\sin(\frac{\omega}{2})} \right|^2 \left| \frac{3}{6-4\cos\omega} \right|^2 \\ &+ \\ &5 |2(1 + \cos \omega)|^2 \left| \frac{3}{6-4\cos\omega} \right|^2 \\ &+ \\ &2 \left| \frac{3}{6-4\cos\omega} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$S_U(\omega) = 5 \left| \frac{\sin(\frac{5}{2}\omega)}{\sin(\frac{\omega}{2})} \right|^2 \left| \frac{3}{6-4\cos\omega} \right|^2 + 5 |2(1 + \cos \omega)|^2 \left| \frac{3}{6-4\cos\omega} \right|^2 + 2 \left| \frac{3}{6-4\cos\omega} \right|^2$$

so

$$S_U(\omega) = -\frac{9}{4} \frac{57 + 80 \cos(\omega) + 20 \cos(3\omega) + 40 \cos(2\omega) + 10 \cos(4\omega)}{-2 \cos(2\omega) + 12 \cos(\omega) - 11}$$

3 problem 3

part a

let the time average of X_n be \widehat{M} , where

$$\widehat{M} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n \quad 0 \leq n < \infty$$

the mean of \widehat{M} is the ensemble mean of process X_n , i.e.

$$E[\widehat{M}] = E[X_n] = \mu_X$$

so, if the variance of \widehat{M} is small, then we can say that the time average of R.P. X_n converges to the ensemble average of X_n . that is, we say that X_n is ergodic in the mean.

so, the condition I need to look for is to see if the variance of \widehat{M} goes to zero as N goes very large.

i.e. if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\widehat{M}}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

then X_n is ergodic in the mean.

since \widehat{M} is a random variable, the convergence above is in the mean square sense.

Now, I find expression to this condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\widehat{M}}^2 &= E\left[\left|\widehat{M} - E[\widehat{M}]\right|^2\right] \\ &= E\left[\left|\widehat{M} - \mu_X\right|^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\widehat{M} - \mu_X = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n\right) - \mu_X$$

but

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n &= \frac{1}{N} (X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + \cdots + X_N + (N \cdot \mu_X - N \cdot \mu_X)) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} ((X_1 - \mu_X) + (X_2 - \mu_X) + \cdots + (X_N - \mu_X) + (N \cdot \mu_X)) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} ((X_1 - \mu_X) + (X_2 - \mu_X) + \cdots + (X_N - \mu_X)) + \mu_X \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n - \mu_X \right) + \mu_X
\end{aligned}$$

so, substitute the above in equation (2) we get:

$$\widehat{M} - \mu_X = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n - \mu_X \right) + \mu_X - \mu_X = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n - \mu_X$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\widehat{M}}^2 &= E \left[\left| \widehat{M} - \mu_X \right|^2 \right] \\
&= E \left[\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_n - \mu_X \right|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} E \left[\left| \sum_{n=1}^N X_n - N \mu_X \right|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} E \left[\sum_{n_1=1, n_2=1}^N (X_{n_1} - \mu_X) (X_{n_2} - \mu_X)^* \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2=1}^N E \left[(X_{n_1} - \mu_X) (X_{n_2}^* - \mu_X) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

since M.S. limit and $E[\cdot]$ operator can commute. so:

$$\sigma_{\widehat{M}}^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n_1, n_2=1}^N K_X(n_1 - n_2)$$

since the process is stationary.

so my condition can be stated as

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\hat{M}}^2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1=1 \\ n_2=1}}^N K_X(n_1 - n_2) \rightarrow 0$$

so, if the above goes to zero in the limit as indicated, then one can say that X_n is M.S. ergodic in the mean.

This in addition to the condition stated above, that

$$\boxed{E[\hat{M}] \equiv E\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_n X_n\right] = E[X_n]}$$

To simplify the condition in equation (3) above:

I need to find the sum $\sum_{\substack{n_1=1 \\ n_2=1}}^N K_X[n_1 - n_2]$

fix $n_2 = 1$, then partial sum = $K_X[1 - 1] + K_X[2 - 1] + K_X[3 - 1] + \dots + K_X[N - 1]$

fix $n_2 = 2$, then partial sum = $K_X[1 - 2] + K_X[2 - 2] + K_X[3 - 2] + \dots + K_X[N - 2]$

fix $n_2 = 3$, then partial sum = $K_X[1 - 3] + K_X[2 - 3] + K_X[3 - 3] + \dots + K_X[N - 3]$

...

fix $n_2 = N$, then partial sum = $K_X[1 - N] + K_X[2 - N] + K_X[3 - N] + \dots + K_X[N - N]$

so, the above total sum is

$(K_X[0] + K_X[1] + K_X[2] + \dots + K_X[N - 1]) + (K_X[-1] + K_X[0] + K_X[1] + \dots + K_X[N - 2]) +$
 $\dots (K_X[1 - N] + K_X[2 - N] + K_X[3 - N] + \dots + K_X[0])$

so $\sum_{\substack{n_1=1 \\ n_2=1}}^N K_X[n_1 - n_2] = N \cdot K_X[0] + (N - 1)(K_X[1] + K_X[-1]) + (N - 2)(K_X[-2] + K_X[2]) +$
 $(N - 3)(K_X[-3] + K_X[3]) + \dots + (1)(K_X[-(N - 1)] + K_X[N - 1])$

so

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\substack{n_1=1 \\ n_2=1}}^N K_X[n_1 - n_2] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) K_X[n]$$

4 problem 4

part a

$X(t)$, for $t > 0$, takes in 2 values, $\{1, -1\}$, so

$$E[X(t)] = (1 \cdot P\{X(t) = 1\} + (-1) \cdot P\{X(t) = -1\}) = P\{X(t) = 1\} - P\{X(t) = -1\} \quad (1)$$

but

$$P\{X(t) = 1\} = P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = 1\}$$

but $P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = 1\}$ is the same as the probability that $N(t)$ takes in even values, because when $N(t)$ takes in even values, then $(-1)^{N(t)}$ will have value of 1.

$$\text{so, } P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = 1\} = P\{N(t) = \text{even values}\}$$

but the probability that $N(t)$ takes in even values = $P\{N(t) = 2\} + P\{N(t) = 4\} + P\{N(t) = 6\} + \dots$ This is because since the times of arrivals are independent from each others in a poisson process.

$$\text{then } P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = 1\} = P\{N(t) = \text{even values}\} = P_t(2) + P_t(4) + P_t(6) + \dots = \boxed{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(2n)}$$

Similarly,

$$P\{X(t) = -1\} = P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = -1\}$$

again, similar to above argument, $P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = -1\}$ is the same as the probability that $N(t)$ takes in odd values, because when $N(t)$ takes in odd values, then $(-1)^{N(t)}$ will have value of -1.

$$\text{so } P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = -1\} = P\{N(t) = \text{odd values}\}$$

but the probability that $N(t)$ takes in odd values = $P\{N(t) = 1\} + P\{N(t) = 3\} + P\{N(t) = 5\} + \dots$

$$\text{then } P\{(-1)^{N(t)} = -1\} = P\{N(t) = \text{odd values}\} = P_t(1) + P_t(3) + P_t(5) + \dots = \boxed{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_t(2n-1)}$$

so, substituting in equation 1 above, we see

$$E[X(t)] = P\{X(t) = 1\} - P\{X(t) = -1\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(2n) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_t(2n-1) \quad (1)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(2n) &= P_t(0) + P_t(2) + P_t(4) + \dots \\ &= \frac{(\lambda t)^0}{0!} e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^2}{2!} e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^4}{4!} e^{-\lambda t} + \dots \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \left(\frac{(\lambda t)^0}{0!} + \frac{(\lambda t)^2}{2!} + \frac{(\lambda t)^4}{4!} + \dots \right) \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \cosh \lambda t \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_t(2n-1) &= P_t(1) + P_t(3) + P_t(5) + \dots \\
 &= \frac{(\lambda t)^1}{1!} e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^3}{3!} e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^5}{5!} e^{-\lambda t} + \dots \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \left(\frac{(\lambda t)^1}{1!} + \frac{(\lambda t)^3}{3!} + \frac{(\lambda t)^5}{5!} + \dots \right) \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \sinh \lambda t
 \end{aligned}$$

so, equation 2 above becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_X(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(2n) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_t(2n-1) \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} \cosh \lambda t - e^{-\lambda t} \sinh \lambda t \\
 &= e^{-\lambda t} (\cosh \lambda t - \sinh \lambda t) \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

now, $e^{-x} = \cosh x - \sinh x$ so let $y \equiv -\lambda t$ so

$$e^{-\lambda t} = \cosh \lambda t - \sinh \lambda t$$

we see immediately that equation (3) becomes

$$\boxed{\mu_X(t) = e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda t} = e^{-2\lambda t}} \quad t > 0$$

part b

first, let $t_1 - t_2 = \tau > 0$. now

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_X(t_1, t_2) &= E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \\
 &= (1) \cdot P\{X(t_1) = 1, X(t_2) = 1\} \\
 &\quad + (-1) \cdot P\{X(t_1) = -1, X(t_2) = 1\} \\
 &\quad + (-1) \cdot P\{X(t_1) = 1, X(t_2) = -1\} \\
 &\quad + (1) P\{X(t_1) = -1, X(t_2) = -1\} \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

now, using the relation that $P\{A | B\} = \frac{P\{A, B\}}{P\{B\}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P\{X(t_1) = 1, X(t_2) = 1\} &= P\{X(t_1) = 1 | X(t_2) = 1\} \cdot P\{X(t_2) = 1\} \\
 &= P\left\{(-1)^{N(t_1)} = 1 | (-1)^{N(t_2)} = 1\right\} \cdot P\left\{(-1)^{N(t_2)} = 1\right\} \\
 &= P\{N(t_1) = \text{even} | N(t_2) = \text{even}\} \cdot P\{N(t_2) = \text{even}\} \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

now, when $X(t_2) = 1$, then for $X(t_1)$ to have value of 1, means that even number of points are between t_2 and t_1 , where the point, is the point of time when $X(t)$ switches between 1, -1.

so $P\{X(t_1) = 1 | X(t_2) = 1\} = P\{\text{there is even number of points between } t_2 \text{ and } t_1\}$

But from part a, we find that $P\{\text{there is even number of points between } 0 \text{ and } t\}$ = probability that $X(t)$ takes in a value of 1 at time t .

this means that probability that $X(t)$ takes in a value of 1 at time t is the same as talking about the probability that there are even number of points between 0 and t .

so, now I can say that $P\{\text{there is even number of points between } 0 \text{ and } t\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_t(2n) = e^{-\lambda t} \cosh \lambda t$

when $t_1 - t_2 = \tau \geq 0$, I can write the above by replacing t with τ as

$$P\{\text{there is even number of points between } t_1 \text{ and } t_2\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{t_1-t_2}(2n) = e^{-\lambda \tau} \cosh \lambda \tau$$

in other words,

$$P\{X(t_1) = 1 | X(t_2) = 1\} = e^{-\lambda \tau} \cosh \lambda \tau$$

and, from part a, we know that

$$P\{X(t_2) = 1\} = P\{\text{there is even number of points between } 0 \text{ and } t_2\} = e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2$$

$$P\{X(t_2) = 1\} = e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2$$

so, substitute the above 2 relations in equation (6) gives:

$$\boxed{P\{X(t_1) = 1, X(t_2) = 1\} = e^{-\lambda \tau} \cosh \lambda \tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2} \tag{7}$$

similarly,

$$P\{X(t_1) = -1, X(t_2) = 1\} = P\{X(t_1) = -1 | X(t_2) = 1\} \cdot P\{X(t_2) = 1\}$$

but again $P\{X(t_1) = -1 | X(t_2) = 1\} \equiv P\{\text{there is odd number of points between } t_1 \text{ and } t_2\}$

but $P\{\text{there is odd number of points between } 0 \text{ and } t\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_t(2n-1) = e^{-\lambda t} \sinh \lambda t$

so this means that the $P\{\text{there is odd number of points between } t_1 \text{ and } t_2\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{t_1-t_2}(2n-1) = e^{-\lambda\tau} \sinh \lambda\tau$

and $P\{X(t_2) = 1\} = P\{\text{there is even number of points between } 0 \text{ and } t_2\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{t_2}(2n) = e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2$

so,

$$P\{X(t_1) = -1, X(t_2) = 1\} = P\{N(t_1) = \text{odd} \mid N(t_2) = \text{even}\} \cdot P\{N(t_2) = \text{even}\} = e^{-\lambda\tau} \sinh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2$$

i.e.

$$\boxed{P\{X(t_1) = -1, X(t_2) = 1\} = e^{-\lambda\tau} \sinh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2} \quad (8)$$

similarly, i find

$$\boxed{P\{X(t_1) = 1, X(t_2) = -1\} = e^{-\lambda\tau} \sinh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \sinh \lambda t_2} \quad (9)$$

and finally

$$\boxed{P\{X(t_1) = -1, X(t_2) = -1\} = e^{-\lambda\tau} \cosh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \sinh \lambda t_2} \quad (10)$$

so, from equation (5), substitute in it equations 7,8,9,10, I get

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(t_1, t_2) &= e^{-\lambda\tau} \cosh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2 \\ &\quad - e^{-\lambda\tau} \sinh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \cosh \lambda t_2 \\ &\quad - e^{-\lambda\tau} \sinh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \sinh \lambda t_2 \\ &\quad + e^{-\lambda\tau} \cosh \lambda\tau e^{-\lambda t_2} \sinh \lambda t_2 \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(t_1, t_2) &= e^{-\lambda\tau} e^{-\lambda t_2} (\cosh \lambda\tau \cosh \lambda t_2 - \sinh \lambda\tau \cosh \lambda t_2 - \sinh \lambda\tau \sinh \lambda t_2 + \cosh \lambda\tau \sinh \lambda t_2) \\ &= e^{-\lambda\tau} e^{-\lambda t_2} (\cosh \lambda\tau (\cosh \lambda t_2 + \sinh \lambda t_2) - \sinh \lambda\tau (\cosh \lambda t_2 + \sinh \lambda t_2)) \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

but,

$$\begin{aligned} e^x &= \cosh x + \sinh x \\ e^{-x} &= \cosh x - \sinh x \end{aligned}$$

so, equation (11) becomes

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-\lambda\tau} e^{-\lambda t_2} \left(\cosh \lambda\tau \left(e^{\lambda t_2} \right) - \sinh \lambda\tau \left(e^{\lambda t_2} \right) \right) = e^{-\lambda\tau} (\cosh \lambda\tau - \sinh \lambda\tau) = e^{-\lambda\tau} e^{-\lambda\tau} = e^{-2\lambda\tau}$$

i.e. for $t_1 > t_2 \geq 0$, and $\tau = t_1 - t_2$,

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-2\lambda(t_1 - t_2)}$$

similarly, one can let $t_2 > t_1 > 0$, and $\tau = t_2 - t_1$ and that would lead to

$$R_X(t_2, t_1) = e^{-2\lambda(t_2 - t_1)}$$

so, from the above we see that

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-2\lambda |t_1 - t_2|} \quad t_1, t_2 \geq 0$$

part c

since $\mu_X(t)$ is a function of t , then $X(t)$ is a non-stationary process, so $X(t)$ is M.S. continuous at time t iff $R_X(t_1, t_2)$ is continuous at time $t_1 = t_2 \equiv t$.

$$\text{so } R_X(t, t) = e^{-2\lambda|t-t|} = 1$$

so $X(t)$ is M.S. continuous.

R.P. $X(t)$ has M.S. derivative at time t iff $R_X(t_1, t_2)$ has a second order mixed derivative when $t_1 = t_2 \equiv t$.

$$\frac{\partial R_X(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \left(e^{2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} u(-(t_1-t_2)) + e^{-2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} u(t_1-t_2) \right) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} & t_2 > t_1 \\ -\frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{-2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} & t_1 > t_2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 R_X(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} & t_2 > t_1 \\ -\frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{-2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} & t_1 > t_2 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} e^{2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} & t_2 > t_1 \\ -\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} e^{2\lambda(t_1-t_2)} & t_1 > t_2 \end{cases}$$

at the line $t_1 = t_2$, i.e. $\tau = 0$ we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 R_X(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} = -\frac{1}{4\lambda^2}$$

so

$$\lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \left(-\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \right) = \left(-\frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \right)$$

so, the limit exist, so $X(t)$ is M.S. diferetiable.

5 problem 5

$X(t)$ uncorrelated means $R_X(t_1, t_2) = 0$ for $t_1 \neq t_2$, in other words, $R_X(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau \neq 0$.

also note that $X(t)$ and $N(t)$ are orthogonal since they are uncorrelated with zero-mean.

$$K_X(t_1, t_2) = \sigma_X^2(t_1) \delta(t_1 - t_2) = e^{-|t_1|} \delta(t_1 - t_2)$$

so, since $X(t)$ is a zero-mean process, then

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = e^{-|t_1|} \delta(t_1 - t_2)$$

let

$$h(t) = h_1(t) * h_2(t)$$

where L_i means the time variable of the operator L is t_i , and L^* is the adjoint operator whose impulse response is $h^*(t, \tau)$.

$$h(t) = h_1(t) * h_2(t)$$

$$H(\omega) = H_1(\omega) H_2(\omega)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+j\omega} \frac{2}{2+j\omega}$$

$$= \frac{2}{1+j\omega} - \frac{2}{2+j\omega}$$

$$h(t) = F^{-1} \left\{ \frac{2}{1+j\omega} - \frac{2}{2+j\omega} \right\}$$

so

$$h(t) = 2(e^{-t} - e^{-2t})u(t)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} R_{XY}(t_1, t_2) &= L_2^* \{R_X(t_1, t_2)\} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^*(\alpha) R_X(t_1; t_2 - \alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) u(\alpha) e^{-|t_1|} \delta(t_1 - (t_2 - \alpha)) d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) e^{-|t_1|} \delta(t_1 - (t_2 - \alpha)) d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

when $t_2 - \alpha = t_1 \implies \alpha = t_2 - t_1 > 0$ then the above integral has a value of

$$R_{XY}(t_1, t_2) = 2(e^{-(t_2-t_1)} - e^{-2(t_2-t_1)}) e^{-|t_1|} u(t_2 - t_1)$$

or

$$R_{XY}(t_1, t_2) = 2(e^{-(t_2 - t_1)} - e^{-2(t_2 - t_1)}) e^{-|t_1|} u(t_2 - t_1)$$

now, I find R_{1YY} due to contribution from R_{XY} and find R_{2YY} due to contribution from R_{NY} and add them to get final $R_{YY} = R_{1YY} + R_{2YY}$ (since $N \perp X$)

now, Find contribution due to R_{XY}

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) &= L_1 \{R_{XY}(t_1, t_2)\} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\alpha) R_{XY}(t_1 - \alpha; t_2) d\alpha \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) u(\alpha) \left[2 \left(e^{-(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} - e^{-2(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} \right) e^{-|t_1 - \alpha|} u(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha)) \right] d\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

the above integral is exist only for $\alpha > 0$, else it is zero , so

$$R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) \left[2 \left(e^{-(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} - e^{-2(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} \right) e^{-|t_1 - \alpha|} u(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha)) \right] d\alpha$$

now, when $t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha) > 0 \Rightarrow t_2 - t_1 + \alpha > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha > t_1 - t_2 > 0 \Rightarrow t_1 - t_2 > 0$

so $u(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha)) = u(t_1 - t_2)$

then

$$R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) \left[2 \left(e^{-(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} - e^{-2(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} \right) e^{-|t_1 - \alpha|} u(t_1 - t_2) \right] d\alpha \quad (2)$$

now

$$e^{-|t_1 - \alpha|} = e^{t_1 - \alpha} u(-t_1 + \alpha) + e^{-t_1 + \alpha} u(t_1 - \alpha)$$

so if $t_1 < 0$ then, since $\alpha > 0$ then

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-|t_1 - \alpha|} d\alpha = \int_0^{\infty} e^{t_1 - \alpha} d\alpha$$

and, when $t_1 > 0$

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-|t_1 - \alpha|} d\alpha = \int_0^{t_1} e^{-t_1 + \alpha} d\alpha + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} e^{t_1 - \alpha} d\alpha$$

so , equation (1) can be written in 2 parts as

when $t_2 < t_1$ and $t_1 < 0$ then

$$R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) \left[2 \left(e^{-(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} - e^{-2(t_2 - (t_1 - \alpha))} \right) e^{t_1 - \alpha} \right] d\alpha$$

$$R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) = \boxed{\frac{1}{3}e^2 t_1 - t_2 - \frac{1}{5}e^3 t_1 - 2t_2}$$

when $t_2 < t_1$ and $t_1 > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) &= \int_0^{t_1} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) \left[2 \left(e^{-(t_2-(t_1-\alpha))} - e^{-2(t_2-(t_1-\alpha))} \right) e^{-t_1+\alpha} \right] d\alpha \\
 &+ \int_{t_1}^{\infty} 2(e^{-\alpha} - e^{-2\alpha}) \left[2 \left(e^{-(t_2-(t_1-\alpha))} - e^{-2(t_2-(t_1-\alpha))} \right) e^{t_1-\alpha} \right] d\alpha \quad (3) \\
 &= \boxed{-\frac{8}{3}e^{-t_1-t_2} + e^{-t_1-2t_2} - 2t_2 + e^{-t_1-t_2} - t_2 - \frac{8}{15}e^{-2t_1-2t_2} - 2t_2 + 2e^{-t_2} - \frac{2}{3}e^{-2t_2+t_1} + t_1}
 \end{aligned}$$

so, combine the above 2 expression in boxes, we get for when $t_2 < t_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{3}e^{2t_1-t_2} - \frac{1}{5}e^{3t_1-2t_2} \right) u(-t_1) \\
 &+ \left(-\frac{8}{3}e^{-t_1-t_2} + e^{-t_1-2t_2} + e^{-t_1-t_2} - \frac{8}{15}e^{-2t_1-2t_2} + 2e^{-t_2} - \frac{2}{3}e^{-2t_2+t_1} \right) u(t_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

part b

For white noise,

$$S_N(\omega) = \sigma_N^2 = 5$$

so

$$S_{NY}(\omega) = S_N(\omega) H_2^*(j\omega) = 5 \frac{2}{2+j\omega} = \frac{10}{2+j\omega}$$

so

$$R_{NY}(\tau) = 10 F^{-1} \{ S_{NY}(\omega) \} = 10e^{-2\tau} u(\tau)$$

or we can write this by saying $\tau = t_1 - t_2$ then

$$\boxed{R_{NY}(t_1 - t_2) = 10e^{-2(t_1 - t_2)} u(t_1 - t_2)}$$

Now,

$$S_{2YY}(\omega) = S_X(\omega) |H_2(j\omega)|^2$$

but

$$H_2(j\omega) = \frac{2}{2+j\omega}$$

so

$$|H_2(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{4}{4+\omega^2}$$

so

$$S_{2YY}(\omega) = 5 \frac{4}{4+\omega^2}$$

so

$$R_{2YY}(\tau) = 5e^{-2|\tau|}$$

so, for $t_1 > t_2$ then, combine all results from part a and part b to get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{YY}(t_1, t_2) &= R_{1YY}(t_1, t_2) + R_{2YY}(t_1, t_2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3}e^{2t_1-t_2} - \frac{1}{5}e^{3t_1-2t_2}\right) u(-t_1) u(t_1 - t_2) \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{8}{3}e^{-t_1-t_2} + e^{-t_1-2t_2} + e^{-t_1-t_2} - \frac{8}{15}e^{-2t_1-2t_2} + 2e^{-t_2} - \frac{2}{3}e^{-2t_2+t_1}\right) u(t_1) u(t_1 - t_2) \\ &\quad + 5e^{-2|t_1-t_2|} \end{aligned}$$

6 problem 6

since

$$K_X(t_1, t_2) = R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu_X \mu_X^*$$

then

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = 25e^{-|t_1-t_2|} + 36$$

$$R_X(\tau = t_1 - t_2) = 25e^{-|\tau|} + 36$$

• $X(t)$ is strict sense stationary:

since the auto correlation function $R_X(t_1, t_2)$ is a function of $(t_1 - t_2)$ and since the mean is constant, then $X(t)$ is a WSS process. However to decide if it is SSS process, I need to determine if $X(t + T)$ has the same density function as $X(t)$ for any order. This I dont know from given information. so $X(t)$ is not SSS process based on what is given.

• $X(t)$ has total average power DC of 36 watt:

find the power spectral:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_X(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X(\tau) e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (25e^{-|\tau|} + 36) e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau \\
 &= 36 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau + 25 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|\tau|} e^{-j\omega\tau} d\tau \\
 &= 36 \cdot 2\pi\delta(\omega) + 50 \frac{1}{1+\omega^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

so, let $\omega = 0$, total average DC power is $72\pi + 50 = 276.2$ watt

so, the statement that $X(t)$ has total average DC power of 36 watt is NOT true.

• $X(t)$ is M.S. ergodic in the mean:

a stationary R.P. is M.S. ergodic in the mean iff

$$\lim_{T \nearrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-2T}^{2T} \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{2T}\right) K_X(\tau) d\tau \rightarrow 0$$

Fourier transform for triangular pulse $\left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{2T}\right)$ is $2T \left(\frac{\sin 2\pi fT}{2\pi fT}\right)^2$, using Parseval's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_M^2 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-2T}^{2T} \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{2T}\right) K_X(\tau) d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-2T}^{2T} \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{2T}\right) 25e^{-|\tau|} d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2T \left(\frac{\sin 2\pi fT}{2\pi fT}\right)^2 50 \frac{1}{1+(2\pi f)^2} df \\
 &= 50 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin 2\pi fT}{2\pi fT}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1+(2\pi f)^2} df \\
 \lim_{T \nearrow \infty} \sigma_M^2 &= 50 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{T \nearrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sin 2\pi fT}{2\pi fT}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1+(2\pi f)^2} df \\
 &= 50 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 0 \cdot df = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

so, $X(t)$ is M.S. ergodic in the mean.

• $X(t)$ has a periodic component:

A WSS process is a wide sense periodic if

$$\mu_X(t) = \mu_X(t + T) \quad \forall t$$

and the auto-covariance $K_X(t_1, t_2)$ is periodic.

the second condition above fails, so this is not a wide sense periodic function. This also implies it is not M.S. period since M.S. periodicity is stronger than WS periodicity.

However, the question asks if $X(t)$ has at least one component of the process is periodic, Not if the process itself is periodic. It is possible that $X(t)$ has component that is periodic, but $X(t)$ not be periodic.

so I can't for certinity say that $X(t)$ has or not a periodic component

• $X(t)$ has an AC power of 61 Watt:

$$\text{total power} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_X(\omega) d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 36 \cdot 2\pi\delta(\omega) + 50 \frac{1}{1+\omega^2} d\omega = 72\pi + 50 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\omega^2} d\omega = 72\pi + 50\pi = 122\pi \text{ watt}$$

but the DC power was found to be $(72\pi + 50)$ watt, so AC power = $122\pi - (72\pi + 50) = 50\pi - 50 = 107.07$ watt

so $X(t)$ do NOT have an AC power of 61 Watt

• $X(t)$ has a variance of 25:

$$\text{Variance} = \sigma_X^2(t) = K_X(t, t) \Rightarrow K_X(0) = 25e^0 = 25$$

so $X(t)$ has a variance of 25 is True

7 problem 7

$$R_X(\tau) = 3 + 2 \exp(-4\tau^2)$$

part a

the power spectral density $S_X(\omega)$ is

$$S_X(\omega) = F\{R_X(\tau)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_X(\tau) \exp(-j\omega\tau) d\tau$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} S_X(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (3 + 2 \exp(-4\tau^2)) \exp(-j\omega\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 3 \exp(-j\omega\tau) d\tau + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-4\tau^2) \exp(-j\omega\tau) d\tau \\ &= 6\pi \delta(\omega) + \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{16}\right) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$S_X(\omega) = 6\pi \delta(\omega) + \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{16}\right)$$

Part b

$$\begin{aligned} \text{total power} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_X(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(6\pi \delta(\omega) + \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{16}\right) \right) d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 6\pi \delta(\omega) d\omega + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{16}\right) d\omega \\ &= 6\pi + 4\pi \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{total power} = 10\pi$$

now, power between $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, call it p_1 , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \int_{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}} S_X(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}} 6\pi \delta(\omega) d\omega + \int_{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}} \sqrt{\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{16}\right) d\omega \\ &= 6\pi + 4\pi \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^x \exp(-t^2) dt$$

$$\text{so, } \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\right) = \operatorname{erf}(0.443) = 0.158$$

$$p_1 = 6\pi + 4\pi(0.158) = 20.84 \quad \text{Watt}$$

so fraction to total power is

$$\frac{p_1}{\text{total power}} = \frac{20.83}{10\pi} = 0.663 \implies \boxed{\% 66.3}$$